

**Tentative Rulings and Resolution Review Hearings
April 20, 2026
Department 64**

This Court does not follow the procedures described in Rules of Court, Rule 3.1308(a). Tentative rulings are available online no less than 12 hours in advance of the time set for hearing. Tentative rulings may be found on the court’s website (www.shasta.courts.ca.gov) and are available by clicking on the “Tentative Rulings” link under the “Online Services” tab. A QR code that links to the tentative rulings is posted outside the courtroom. A party is not required to give notice to the Court or other parties of intent to appear to present argument.

Telephonic appearances through CourtCall (888-882-6878; courtcall.com) are generally permitted on the Law & Motion and Resolution Review calendars and can be made without leave of Court.

8:30 a.m. Law and Motion

IN RE: BOMKAMP

CASE NUMBER: 26CV-0209769

Tentative Ruling on Petition for Change of Name: Petitioner Steven Matthew Cardis seeks to change his name to Steve Raymond Bomkamp. All procedural requirements of CCP §§ 1275 et. seq. have been satisfied. The Petition is **GRANTED**. All future dates will be vacated, and the file closed upon the processing of the Decree Changing Name.

CABRAL VS. TERRY

CASE NUMBER: 25CV-0207584

Tentative Ruling on Order to Show Cause Re: Dismissal: An Order to Show Cause Re: Dismissal issued to Plaintiff on March 18, 2026 pursuant to Gov’t Code § 68608(b) for failure to timely seek entry of default as required by CRC 3.110(g), and failure to timely prosecute. No written response to the Order to Show Cause was filed. No response to the OSC has been filed. This action is **DISMISSED**. The clerk is instructed to prepare a separate Order of Dismissal. No appearance is necessary on today’s calendar.

IN RE: CORDERO-ONEALE

CASE NUMBER: 26CV-0209836

Tentative Ruling on Petition for Change of Name: Petitioner Samantha Lynn Healani Cordero-Oneale seeks to change her name to Samantha Lynn Kahealani Cordero-Anderson. All procedural requirements of CCP §§ 1275 et. seq. have been satisfied. The Petition is **GRANTED**. All future dates will be vacated, and the file closed upon the processing of the Decree Changing Name.

DOTSON VS. SHASTA COUNTY HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES AGENCY, ET AL.

CASE NUMBER: 26CV-0209908

Tentative Ruling on Order to Show Cause Re Dismissal: An Order to Show Cause Re: Dismissal (“OSC”) issued on March 2, 2026, pursuant to Gov’t Code § 68608(b) to Plaintiff Cleotis Dotson, in pro per, for failure to state a cause of action, or a request for relief. Plaintiff has filed a response to the OSC which acknowledges that the filing does not conform to the

requirements for a complaint and conceding that dismissal is appropriate. This action is **DISMISSED**. The clerk is instructed to prepare a separate Order of Dismissal. No appearance is necessary on today's calendar.

DURBIN, SR, ET AL. VS. MOHAMMADI, ET AL.

CASE NUMBER: 25CV-0208902

On April 16, 2026, the Court issued the following Order and provided notice to all parties. The Order is reproduced here as a courtesy, in the event notice was not received prior to today's hearing: "The Court is in receipt of a Notice of Appeal filed by Plaintiff on April 1, 2026. The appeal is from the Court's March 10, 2026 Order granting in part Defendant's Special Motion to Strike, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure sections 425.16(i) and 904.1(a)(13). The perfecting of an appeal stays proceedings in the trial court upon the judgment or order appealed from or upon the matters embraced therein or affected thereby. CCP § 916. The Court's Order granting the Motion struck five causes of action, leaving only one cause of action at issue. Therefore, the Court finds good cause to stay the entire matter pending resolution of the appeal. All other motions currently set for hearing in this matter will be reset as necessary once the stay of this matter is lifted. All future hearing, settlement conference, and trial dates are **VACATED**. This matter is set for review regarding status of appeal on **Monday, July 20, 2026, at 9:00 a.m. in Department 64.**"

IN RE: FRANATTI

CASE NUMBER: 26CV-0209765

Tentative Ruling on Petition for Change of Name: Petitioner Robert Phillip Batti seeks to change his name to Robert Phillip Franatti. All procedural requirements of CCP §§ 1275 et. seq. have been satisfied. The Petition is **GRANTED**. All future dates will be vacated, and the file closed upon the processing of the Decree Changing Name.

GUERRA VS. KNAUF INSULATION, INC.

CASE NUMBER: 24CV-0206404

This matter is on calendar for hearing on Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment. On April 14, 2026, Defendant filed a Notice of Settlement and Request to Vacate all Hearings and Deadlines and Set Approval Hearing. The Notice is signed by counsel for Plaintiff and Defendant. No proposed order was submitted. No Notice of Settlement (CM-200) was filed. However, in light of the jointly filed Notice, today's hearing on the Motion for Summary Judgment is dropped from calendar. No appearances are necessary at 8:30 a.m. This matter is also on calendar at 9:00 a.m. today for a status conference. The 9:00 a.m. status conference is confirmed.

IN RE: HARDY

CASE NUMBER: 26CV-0209761

Tentative Ruling on Petition for Change of Name: Petitioner Brandy Hardy seeks to change the last name of her minor daughter. The Court requires a Certificate of Publication from the publishing newspaper before the Petition may be granted. No proof of publication has been provided.

Additionally, the Petition fails to include the father's address, as required at Item 7e. When a petition to change the name of a minor is brought by one parent only, the nonconsenting parent must be personally served with the notice of hearing or order to show cause at least 30 days before the hearing date. See CCP § 1277(a)(4). In the alternative to personal service, the Court may order notice be given in another manner the Court determines is reasonably calculated to give

actual notice to the nonconsenting parent. CCP § 1277(a)(4). No proof of service is on file. The Court notes that Petitioner filed a “Proof of No Service.” This is insufficient; it appears only two attempts at personal service were made at the father’s likely current address. This matter is continued to **Monday, June 1, 2026, at 8:30 a.m. in Department 64**. Petitioner shall file an Amended Petition, which includes the father’s current address, and an Amended Order to Show Cause (“Amended OSC”) for the continued hearing date. The Amended OSC must be personally served on the nonconsenting parent at least 30 days before the continued hearing date. Proof of service must be filed with the court. No appearance is necessary on today’s calendar.

MOEBES VS. LAMONT, ET AL.

CASE NUMBER: 25CV-0208787

Tentative Ruling on Motion to Compel Discovery Responses: Plaintiff Shirley Moebes seeks an order compelling Defendant Jay Lamont to respond to Form Interrogatories, Special Interrogatories, and Requests for Production, and that Requests for Admission, be deemed admitted by Defendant. Plaintiff also requests monetary sanctions. Defendant opposes the motion on the grounds that it is mooted by the service of verified responses on March 5, 2026.

A party has thirty days after service to respond to a Request for Production, Request for Admissions, Form Interrogatories, or Special Interrogatories. CCP §§ 2031.260(a), 2033.250(a), and 2030.260(a). Not providing a timely response to propounded discovery results in a waiver of objections. CCP §§ 2031.300(a), 2033.280(a), and 2030.290(a). If a party to whom a discovery request is directed fails to serve a timely response, the party propounding discovery may move for an order compelling a response. CCP §§ 2031.300(b) and 2030.290(c). For Request for Admissions, the party can move for an Order that the Request for Admissions be deemed admitted. CCP § 2033.280(b). The Declaration of Brian Michael Pratt establishes that Plaintiff attempted to resolve the matter informally prior to filing this motion.

Here, Plaintiff has provided evidence that Plaintiff propounded: Form Interrogatories, Set One, Special Interrogatories, Set One, Request for Production, Set One, and Requests for Admission, Set One to Defendant Jay Lamont by mail on October 22, 2025. Plaintiff propounded Requests for Production, Set Two on October 31, 2025. Defendant served unverified, objection-only responses to the discovery on December 16, 2025 – past the deadline for all sets. Additionally, the responses were unverified. “Unsworn responses are tantamount to no responses at all. (*Zorro Inv. Co. v. Great Pacific Securities Corp.* (1977) 69 Cal.App.3d 907, 914.)” *Appleton v. Superior Court* (1988) 206 Cal.App.3d 632, 636.

Defendant served verified substantive responses on March 5, 2026. While providing verified responses renders the motion to compel moot, sanctions are still available per CRC 3.1348(a). Defendant did not provide justification for the delay. The Court does not find substantial justification or that other circumstances make the imposition of a monetary sanction unjust. Plaintiff seeks sanctions of \$1,250.00 comprise of 5 attorney hours at a rate of \$250.00 per hour. The Court finds both to be reasonable and awards sanctions to Plaintiff in the amount of \$1,250.00.

The Motion to Compel Responses is moot. The request for sanctions is **GRANTED** in the amount of \$1,250.00. Objections are waived. Plaintiff’s proposed order will be modified and executed.

MONROE VS. LITHIA CIMR, INC., ET AL.

CASE NUMBER: 25CV-0209036

Tentative Ruling on Motion to Compel Arbitration: Defendant Hyundai Motor America (“HMA”) moves for an order compelling this matter to arbitration. The Motion is unopposed.

Allegations of the Complaint: Plaintiff’s Complaint alleges eight causes of action against five Defendants. The named Defendants are: Lithia Motors, Inc., Litha Chevrolet of Redding, Hyundai Motor America, Safe-Guard Products International, LLC, and Santander Consumer USA, Inc. All allegations arise out of Plaintiff’s January 22, 2025 purchase of a 2020 Hyundai Kona from Litha Chevrolet of Redding. The vehicle was sold with approximately 43,800 miles and was covered by Hyundai’s 5-year/60,000 mile vehicle limited warranty and Safe-Guard’s Nomad 3-year/36,000 mile service agreement. The Complaint alleges that the transmission failed on July 30, 2025. The Complaint alleges that Lithia recommended replacing the transmission under factory warranty but then delayed repairs. The Complaint alleges that Safe-Guard, Lithia and Hyundai failed to coordinate authorizations, leaving the car disabled for months. HMA now seeks to compel Plaintiff’s claims to arbitration and to stay all proceedings in this action pending conclusion of the arbitration.

Procedural History: Plaintiff filed this action on October 27, 2025. No proof of service of summons for any Defendant is on file. Defendant Safe-Guard Products International, LLC filed a Motion to Compel Arbitration on December 23, 2025. It was originally set for hearing on January 26, 2026. On that date, the Court identified a service defect, based on Plaintiffs’ notice of change of address which had been filed and served shortly before Safe-Guard filed its Motion. Hearing on the Motion was continued to March 30, 2026 to remedy the service defect. Proper proof of service on Plaintiff is now on file for Safe-Guard’s Motion.

On March 30, 2026, the Court elected to continue hearing on Safe-Guard’s Motion to May 26, 2026. The Court explained that because the California Arbitration Act applies to Safe-Guard’s purported arbitration agreement, the Court would need to determine whether each party’s claims are severable and subject to stay or if all pending claims arise out of the same transaction or series of related transactions, such that there is a possibility of conflicting rulings on common factual or legal issues. See CCP § 1281.2; *Acquire II, Ltd. v. Colton Real Estate Group* (2013) 213 Cal. App. 4th 959, 967. The Court found that it would be unjust to proceed to rule on Safe-Guard’s Motion without giving both Safe-Guard and HMA notice of each other’s Motions, and the opportunity to address these issues.

Consistent with the March 30, 2026 ruling continuing hearing on Safe-Guard’s Motion to Compel Arbitration, the Court will also continue hearing on HMA’s Motion to Compel Arbitration to the same date. To ensure consistent outcomes and provide all parties with proper notice and the opportunity to respond, the hearing on HMA’s Motion to Compel Arbitration is continued to **Tuesday, May 26, 2026 at 8:30 a.m. in Department 64.** HMA is directed to give notice to all parties who have appeared in this matter, which now includes Safe-Guard, as well as Lithia Motors, Inc. dba Lithia Chevrolet of Redding and Santander Consumer USA, and to file proof of service of having done so. The Court will also consider any supplemental briefing any party wishes to file regarding the Court’s evaluation of this matter under CCP § 1281.2(c). Filing deadlines will be pursuant to CCP § 1005.

IN RE: PANIAGUA

CASE NUMBER: 26CV-0209787

Tentative Ruling on Petition for Change of Name: Petitioner Gabriela Paniagua seeks to change the last name of her two minor children. The Court requires a Certificate of Publication from the publishing newspaper before the Petition may be granted. No proof of publication has been provided.

Additionally, the Petition fails to identify the children’s father, as required at Item 7e. When a petition to change the name of a minor is brought by one parent only, the nonconsenting parent must be personally served with the notice of hearing or order to show cause at least 30 days before the hearing date. See CCP § 1277(a)(4). In the alternative to personal service, the Court may order notice be given in another manner the Court determines is reasonably calculated to give actual notice to the nonconsenting parent. CCP § 1277(a)(4). No proof of service is on file. This matter is continued to **Monday, June 1, 2026, at 8:30 a.m. in Department 64**. Petitioner shall file an Amended Petition, which identifies the father, and an Amended Order to Show Cause (“Amended OSC”) for the continued hearing date. The Amended OSC must be personally served on the nonconsenting parent at least 30 days before the continued hearing date. Proof of service must be filed with the court. No appearance is necessary on today’s calendar.

9:00 a.m. Review Hearings

ADAMS VS. CHAVEZ, ET AL.

CASE NUMBER: 25CV-0207828

This matter is on calendar for trial setting. The Court designates this matter as a plan II case and intends to set the matter for trial no later than November 30, 2026. The parties have not posted jury fees. The parties are granted 10 days leave to post jury fees. A failure to post jury fees in that time will be deemed a waiver of the right to a jury. The parties are ordered to appear to provide the Court with available trial and mandatory settlement conference dates.

AMERICAN EXPRESS NATIONAL BANK VS. GREENSLITT

CASE NUMBER: 25CV-0207221

This matter is on calendar for review regarding status of dismissal. No status statement has been filed. An appearance is necessary on today’s calendar to provide a status of dismissal. Failure to appear will result in the issuance of an Order to Show Cause re Sanctions.

AMUR EQUIPMENT FINANCE, INC. VS. HILLSIDE DRILLING, INC., ET AL.

CASE NUMBER: 25CV-0206947

This matter is on calendar for review regarding status of conditional settlement. A Conditional Notice of Settlement of Entire Case was filed September 25, 2025. The notice sets forth that the settlement is conditional on the satisfactory completion of specified terms that are not to be performed within 45 days of the date of settlement, and that a request for dismissal will be filed no later than December 15, 2028. Due to limited court budgets and reduced court staffing, the Court does not have staffing to monitor a case to assure dismissal and closure of the court file. The Court’s previous order dated March 23, 2026 directed the parties to resolve the case in a way which results in a conditional dismissal; i.e., a stipulation that the dismissal may be set aside and judgment entered if there is a default in completing the terms of the settlement. Since issuing this order, it appears the parties have done nothing. The Court will issue an Order to Show Cause Re: Monetary Sanctions to Plaintiff and Counsel for failure to comply with the Court’s Order dated March 23,

2026. Hearing on the Order to Show Cause will be **Monday, June 1, 2026 at 8:30 a.m. in Department 64**. The matter will also be on calendar on **Monday, June 1, 2026 at 9:00 a.m. in Department 64** for status of judgment/dismissal. No appearance is necessary on today's calendar.

BAKER VS. CITY OF REDDING

CASE NUMBER: 26CV-0209640

This matter is on calendar for review regarding status of the writ. The Court notes that Respondent City of Redding filed the Notice of Lodging Administrative Record of Proceedings, Certification, and Index on April 14, 2026. The Administrative Record was also lodged with the Court. On February 20, 2026, the Parties filed a Joint Proposed Briefing and Hearing Schedule. The Court is generally amenable to the timeline set forth in that document. However, it appears the timeline has been altered by the extension of time granted to prepare and certify the record of proceedings. The parties should appear today prepared to provide a proposed briefing schedule and available dates (preferably a Friday) for a hearing on the Petition. Additionally, the Court intends to set a hearing date to certify the administrative record pursuant to Local Rule 5.19(G).

BELLA VISTA WATER DISTRICT VS. PG&E CORPORATION, ET AL.

CASE NUMBER: 24CV-0206201

This matter is on calendar for trial setting. The Court designates this matter as a plan III case and intends to set trial no later than October 6, 2026. Only PG&E has posted jury fees. All other parties are granted 10 days leave to post jury fees. A failure to post jury fees in that time will be deemed a waiver of the right to a jury. The parties are ordered to appear to provide the Court with available trial dates.

CABRAL VS. TERRY

CASE NUMBER: 25CV-0207584

This matter is on calendar for review regarding status of the case. The Court intends to dismiss this matter on the 8:30 a.m. law and motion calendar this morning pursuant to an Order to Show Cause. The review hearing is dropped from calendar.

COTTONWOOD ESTATES, LLC VS. MEEKS, ET AL.

CASE NUMBER: 25CVG-02514

This matter is on calendar for status of accounting. The Inventory and Accounting have been filed pursuant to California Civil Code section 798.61. The clerk is directed to close the file. No appearance is necessary on today's calendar.

FREEDOM MORTGAGE CORP. VS. THE HEIRS AND DEVICES OF MICHAEL MATOUS, ET AL.

CASE NUMBER: 25CV-0207543

This matter is on calendar for review regarding status of default as to Sameul Matous as Administrator of the Estate of Michael Matous, and status of default judgment as to all Defendants. The Court notes that Plaintiff has filed a "Declaration in Response to Order to Show Cause." There is no Order to Show Cause currently pending. An Order to Show Cause, which was issued to Plaintiff on January 14, 2026, was vacated on March 9, 2026. On April 15, 2026, the Court issued an order granting Plaintiff's request to amend the First Amended Complaint to substitute a Doe Defendant. Plaintiff's Declaration requests a continuance to serve that Defendant. Today's review hearing is continued to **Monday, June 16, 2026 at 9:00 a.m. in Department 64** for review regarding responsive pleadings and status of default judgments. No appearance is necessary on

today's calendar.

GUERRA VS. KNAUF INSULATION, INC.

CASE NUMBER: 24CV-0206404

This matter is on calendar for review regarding status of settlement. On April 14, 2026, Defendant filed a Notice of Settlement and Request to Vacate all Hearings and Deadlines and Set Approval Hearing. The Notice is signed by counsel for Plaintiff and Defendant. No proposed order was submitted. No Notice of Settlement (CM-200) was filed. The Parties request a hearing date for preliminary approval of class and/or representative action settlement approximately 90 days. The parties are directed to notice their motion for preliminary approval for hearing on any available law and motion calendar, which are held Monday mornings at 8:30 a.m. in Department 64. Today's review hearing is continued to **Monday, July 21, 2026, at 9:00 a.m. in Department 64** for review regarding status of settlement. No appearances are necessary on today's calendar.

MORGANFIELD VS. SILVERSTEIN, MD, ET AL.

CASE NUMBER: 25CV-0207462

This matter is on calendar for trial setting. Proof of Service of Summons filed April 8, 2026 for Defendant Ekelund indicates time for responsive pleading has not yet run. Today's hearing is continued to **Monday, June 1, 2026 at 9:00 a.m. in Department 64** for review regarding status of responsive pleadings and possible trial setting. Plaintiff is to provide notice of the review hearing. No appearances are necessary on today's calendar.

VALLOTTON VS. PELLETT, ET AL.

CASE NUMBER: 25CV-0207714

This matter is on calendar for review regarding status of judgment/dismissal. In light of the Court's March 9, 2026 Order granting the Petitions to Approve Compromise of Minors' Claims, today's review hearing is dropped from calendar. The May 11, 2026 review hearing for confirmation of deposit of funds into blocked account is confirmed. No appearances are necessary on today's calendar.